

Insight Into Wheat Spike and Grain Development by Learning From Natural Variation And Chemically-Induced Mutants



@AndyChen_Wheat
Andy Chen (andy.chen@jic.ac.uk)

Wheat spike architecture

Spike



Wheat spike development



Modified from Guo et al 2018

Major loci influencing spike morphology

CW WT а

Compactum (C) 2D: 231 to 242 Mb (Kajla et al. 2023)





5 -cugcagcaucaucaggauucu	5 -cugcagcaucaucaggauuuu
·	·
3'-uacgucguaguaguucuaaga	3'-uacgucguaguaguucuaaga
mfe:-37.7 kcal/mol miR172	mfe:-35.1 kcal/mol miR172

qq Ç

QQ Q (AP2L-A5) (Zhang et al. 2011; Debernardi et al 2017)

Compact spike near-isogenic lines



(Koval et al. 1988)



Rachis collected (n = 4 plants)



- Compact spike locus was introduced from a mutant line of wheat cultivar Skala (Koval et al. 1988)
- Compact spike phenotype has been proposed to be controlled by a locus on chromosome 2B (Amagai et al. 2016)

No detectable SNP in putative 2B region



RNA-seq aligned against RefSeq v1.0

SNP in AP2L-D5 of ANK-15





- SNP introduces mismatch with miR172
- *AP2L-D5* suppressed speltoid phenotype (Zhang et al. 2011)
- AP2L-D5 was the underlying gene for REDUCED HEIGHT 23 (Zhao et al. 2018)

Zeng et al. 2023 bioRxiv

ANK-15's compact spike locus is on 5D



AP2L-D5 on chromosome 5D is likely the gene underlying the compact spike phenotype of ANK-15



Zeng et al. 2023 bioRxiv

Wheat spike structure



Size of maternal floral organs influences grain morphology

- Lemma and palea envelop the grain during development
- The size of floret cavity correlate positively with grain size in wheat and rice (Millet *et al.* 1986; Li *et al.* 2015)



Wheat

Natural variation loci for glume size





Solving a 100 year old mystery: Cloning the P1 locus in Triticum polonicum 401 views • 1 year ago

🚧 International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium

IWGSC webinar 02/24/2022 Presenter: Nikolai Adamski, John Innes Centre, UK Outline: The tetraploid wheat Triticum polonicum ...



4 moments ∨

Tunicate locus in "pod corn" *ZMM19*

Han et al. 2012 Wingen et al. 2012 P1 locus in T. polonicum VRT-A2

P2 locus in T. ispahanicum

Adamski et al. 2021 Liu et al. 2021

T. ispahanicum (AABB)

- Identified in the Isfahan province of Iran (Heslot 1958)
- Elongated glume controlled by a major QTL, *P2*



P2 increases grain length





NIL provided by Professor Watanabe

P2 increases spike length and spikelet number



NIL provided by Professor Watanabe

Chen et al 2022

P2 decreases number of grains per spike via reducing number of fertile florets



NIL provided by Professor Watanabe

Chen et al 2022

P2 of T. ispahanicum was located to 7B

- P2 was assigned to chromosome 7B (Watanabe et al. 1999)
- VRT-A2 on chromosome 7A was the underlying gene of the long Is VRT-B2 the gene underlying the *T. ispahanicum P2* locus?



T. aestivum T.

T. polonicum

Adamski et al 2022

P2 is on chromosome 6A!

- F2 mapping Langdon × T1120002(*T. ispahanicum*) (n = 93) VRT-B2
- Marker designed using published RNA-seq dataset (Zou et al. 2015)
- No association on chromosome 7B
- Significant association on chromosome 6A



Chen et al 2022

SVP-A1 is a likely candidate within P2

- We fine-mapped P2 to a region of 1.68 Mbp (549 Mbp 550.7 Mbp)
- Identified SVP-A1 (TraesCS6A02G313800) as a strong candidate gene
- Short Vegetative Phase (SVP) genes belong to a subfamily of MADSbox transcription factor

Phylogenetic tree of SVP proteins in rice, wheat, and maize



Adamski *et al*. 2021 Liu *et al*. 2021

Natural variation in SVP influences glume size

0.050

SVP-A1 variation in T. ispahanicum

Whole-genome sequencing data of *T. ispahanicum* (Zhou et al. 2020)

- Promoter deletion (482-bp)
- 53 polymorphisms (SNP and small indel)
- One missense mutation (exon 5)

TraesCS6A02G313800.1



1kb



Ramirez Gonzalez et al 2018

TraesCS6A01G313800 TraesCS6A01G3138

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) eFP Browser at bar.utoronto.ca RNA-seq data from Azhurnaya spring wheat

Please cite Ramirez-Gonzalez et al. 2018 and Winter et al. 2007 All raw data provided by Bayer CropScience, Ghent



SVP-A1 has no/low expression in lemma and glume

Ramirez Gonzalez et al 2018

P2^{ISP} has higher SVP-A1 expression than **P2**^{WT}





Tissue collected at late booting, N=4

Chen et al 2022

100 bp	ATG
SVP-A1	5'UTR



100 bp



100 bp

Generation of novel SVP-A1 alleles via promoter editing

- CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing of the promoter region of SVP-A1 (Sadiye et al. 2019; Smedley et al. 2021)
- Chimeric protein of Growth-regulating factors 4 and GIF-interacting factor 1 (GRF/GIF) to improve regeneration efficiency (Debernardi et al. 2017)



Promoter deletion affects SVP-A1 expression (cv. Fielder, hexaploid)



Chen et al unpublished

Event F.8

8

 \sum

Event F.2

0

NIL

Promoter deletion increases organ length (cv. Fielder, hexaploid)



Lengh (mm)





Promoter deletion affects SVP-A1 expression (cv. Kronos, tetraploid)



Promoter deletion increases glume length (cv. Kronos, tetraploid)

SVP-A1 is the underlying gene for the long glume locus, P2, from T. ispahanicum

Identified motifs are required for the regulation of SVP-A1 expression in the glume tissue



100 bp

CRE function conservation: Barley





No change in expression/phenotype



*BM10 is the ortholog of SVP1 in barley

Natural variation at cis-regulatory region of SVP transcription



Can we engineer *cis*-regulatory variation for key developmental genes in polyploid wheat to generate quantitative improvement in yieldrelated traits?



Cis-regulatory variations underlie agronomically important alleles

- Promoter sequence of EMS-population of Kronos (Zhang et al. 2023)
- Public dataset characterizing open chromatin regions (Debernardi et al. 2023; Lu et al. 2020) and histone modification (Wang et al. 2021)

Original Paper | Published: 03 February 2005

Large deletions within the first intron in *VRN-1* are associated with spring growth habit in barley and wheat

Daolin Fu, Péter Szűcs, Liuling Yan, Marcelo Helguera, Jeffrey S. Skinner, Jarislav von Zitzewitz, Patrick M. Hayes & Jorge Dubcovsky

Molecular Genetics and Genomics273, 54–65 (2005)Cite this article4222Accesses487Citations3AltmetricMetrics

Published: 11 July 2012

Structural variation in the 5' upstream region of photoperiod-insensitive alleles *Ppd-A1a* and *Ppd-B1a* identified in hexaploid wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), and their effect on heading time

Hidetaka Nishida, Tetsuya Yoshida, Kohei Kawakami, Masaya Fujita, Bo Long, Yukari Akashi, David A. Laurie & Kenji Kato

Molecular Breeding31, 27–37 (2013)Cite this article2276Accesses120CitationsMetrics

Legislation changes around gene-edited plants



Research & Impact

Publications

Careers & Study

<u>Blog</u> Defra in the media

HOME / NEWS / GAME-CHANGING GENETIC TECHNOLOGY BILL PASSES INTO LAW IN ENGLAND

Game-changing Genetic Te law in England

Organisations:

Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs



Genetic Technology Act becomes law

Defra Press Office, 24 March 2023 - Farming

Legislation changes around gene-edited plants

... it is Health Canada's scientific opinion that gene-edited plant products should be regulated like all other products of plant breeding, by focusing on their final characteristics and **not** the method of product development.

-Health Canada, August 2022

... gene edited plants product should be regulated like all other products of plant breeding. Namely, by the traits they exhibit and how these traits impact the safety of environmental and human health. -CFIA, May 2023



Acknowledgement

Cristobal Uauy Nikolai M. Adamski Nobuyoshi Watanabe Mia Liu Ayomide Olukorede Junli Zhang Adam Torrance Mark A. Smedley Sadiye Hayta Uauy lab members **IWGSC** Community



Victoria Zeng

Zeng et al. 2023 *bioRxiv* Chen et al. 2022 *TAG*









andy.chen@jic.ac.uk

Targeting miRNA binding site of Q in *Triticale* to modify height



Credit to Juan M Debernardi, Chaozhong Zhang, and Joshua M Hegarty

Phenotype	ANOVA	ANOVA <i>P</i> value		Lsmeans		
	Genotype	Block	Fielder	F.2	F.8	
Glume and grain morp	hology					
Glume length (cm)	< 0.01	0.62	0.89 a	0.92 b	0.86 a	
Grain area (mm ²)	0.19	0.10	17.9 a	18.3 a	17.8 a	
Grain width (mm)	0.12	0.09	3.40 a	3.39 a	3.46 a	
Grain length (mm)	< 0.01	0.18	6.55 a	6.76 b	6.47 a	
TGW (g)	0.63	0.18	44.1 a	43.2 a	43.1 a	
Hectolitre Weight (kg/hl)	0.24	0.06	79.6 a	80.0 a	80.4 a	
Grains per Spike	0.08	0.76	63.3 a	61.0 a	69.5 a	
Yield estimation*	< 0.01	0.66	765 b	474 a	533 a	
Phenology						
Heading time	< 0.01	0.20	79.7 a	78.0 b	80.5 c	
Height (cm)	0.23	0.70	84.7 a	86.3 a	81.4 a	
Spike morphology						
Spike length (cm)	< 0.01	0.45	11.1 a	12.5 c	11.7 b	
Spikelet number	< 0.01	0.79	18.8 a	19.3 b	19.6 b	
Basal infertile spikelets	< 0.01	0.81	0.17 a	1.24 b	0.20 a	
Floret Number	0.08	0.77413	4.80 a	4.79 a	5.03 a	